Prediction of Top of Descent Location for Idle-thrust Descents

Laurel Stell

NASA Ames Research Center



Vertical profile from cruise to meter fix



Idle-thrust descent reduces fuel consumption and emissions.



Example Meter Fix Time Errors for Operational Data



 More than 90% of the meter fix crossing time predictions have absolute error less than 30 sec.



Example Prediction Errors for Operational Data



 Less than 50% of the TOD location predictions have absolute error less than 5 nmi.



- Mathematical analysis and approximation of TOD location based on NASA trajectory predictor
- Comparison of results with FMS test bench data and with operational data
- Possible applications



Mathematical Analysis



- For this mathematical analysis, wind is zero
- Incorporating wind into the results is explained in paper
- Analysis of operational data included wind



$$\frac{ds}{dt} = V_t$$
$$\frac{dh}{dt} = \gamma_a V_t$$

- t:time
- s : the ground path distance relative to the meter fix
- h: the altitude
- V_t : the true airspeed
- γ_a : is the flight path angle with respect to the air mass



$$m\frac{dV_t}{dt} = T - D - mg\gamma_a$$

- T : thrust
- D : drag
- m : aircraft mass
- g: gravitational acceleration



$$\frac{1}{g}\frac{dV_t}{dt} = \frac{T - D}{mg} - \gamma_a$$
$$\frac{ds}{dt} = V_t$$
$$\frac{dh}{dt} = \gamma_a V_t$$

Specify 2 out of 3: flight path angle γ_a , airspeed V_t , thrust T



Descent Procedure





Constant Mach and CAS Segments

$$\frac{1}{g}\frac{dV_t}{dt} = \frac{T-D}{mg} - \gamma_a$$
$$\frac{ds}{dt} = V_t$$
$$\frac{dh}{dt} = \gamma_a V_t$$

 $V_t(h)$ specified:

$$\frac{ds}{dh} = \frac{1}{\gamma_a} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2g}\frac{dV_t^2}{dh}\right)\frac{mg}{T - D}$$



Top of Descent Location

$$egin{aligned} S_{ extsf{TOD}} &= -\int_{h_{ extsf{crz}}}^{h_{ extsf{fix}}} \left(1 + rac{1}{2g}rac{dV_t^2}{dh}
ight)rac{mg}{T-D}\,dh \ &-rac{1}{g}\int_{V_c}^{V_{ extsf{fix}}}V_trac{mg}{T-D}\,dV_t \end{aligned}$$

 S_{TOD} : TOD location as path distance relative to meter fix

- $h_{\rm crz}$: cruise altitude
- h_{fix} : meter fix altitude
 - V_c : descent CAS
- $V_{\rm fix}$: meter fix CAS



Top of Descent Location

$$egin{aligned} S_{ extsf{TOD}} &= -\int_{h_{ extsf{crz}}}^{h_{ extsf{fix}}} \left(1 + rac{1}{2g}rac{dV_t^2}{dh}
ight)rac{mg}{T-D}\,dh \ &-rac{1}{g}\int_{V_c}^{V_{ extsf{fix}}}V_trac{mg}{T-D}\,dV_t \end{aligned}$$

Goal

Polynomial approximation in terms of h_{crz} , V_c , h_{fix} , V_{fix} , W = mg



Analyzed trajectories from NASA predictor to develop the polynomial approximations

- Test matrix with 9000 entries varying
 - cruise altitude h_{crz}
 - cruise Mach
 - descent speed V_c
 - meter fix altitude h_{fix}
 - meter fix speed V_{fix}
 - aircraft weight W
- B737-700 and B777-200



Approximation for Constant Mach and Constant CAS

$$\begin{split} \int_{h_{\text{crz}}}^{h_{\text{fix}}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2g} \frac{dV_t^2}{dh}\right) \frac{W}{T - D} dh \\ &\approx \mathcal{P}(V_c, W) \int_{h_{\text{crz}}}^{h_{\text{fix}}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2g} \frac{dV_t^2}{dh}\right) dh \\ &\approx -(\Delta h) \mathcal{V}(V_c) \mathcal{P}(V_c, W) \\ &\approx -(\Delta h) \times [\text{linear function of } V_c, W] \\ &\approx \text{linear function of } V_c, W, \Delta h \end{split}$$

Each of the last three lines gives a polynomial approximation, with decreasing accuracy but increasing simplicity.



Accuracy of Approximations for TOD Location





Accuracy of Approximations for TOD Location





Validation Against Real-World Data



- Commercial FMS connected to flight simulator
- Same aircraft types as in mathematical analysis
- Test matrix is (3 values of descent CAS V_c) × (3 values of weight W)
- All other inputs the same in all runs
- Recorded TOD locations computed by FMS



- Least squares fit with a model linear in V_c and W gave absolute errors less than 2 nmi for both aircraft types
- Much more accurate than expected from previous results
 - Approximations above partly explain this
 - Test matrix does not adequately exercise predictor



Operational Data Collection Procedures

- Collected data for flights arriving at Denver International Airport
- September 8–23, 2009
- United Air Lines and Continental Airlines participated
- Humans in controller facility wrote down:
 - Flights that participated and whether descent interrupted
 - Speed profiles
- Pilots wrote down aircraft weight
- Extracted cruise altitude and horizontal trajectory from radar data



- Analyzed about 70 descents each for Airbus 319/320 and B757-200 from one airline
- Least squares fit with a model linear in △h, V_c, and W gave absolute errors less than 4 nmi for all except:
 - Four (6%) of Airbus descents
 - Six (8%) of B757 descents



Applications



- Design of FMS test bench experiments
- Simplified sensitivity analysis
- Kinematic predictor of TOD location
 - Dynamic machine learning of coefficients to handle de-icing settings, for example
 - Continuously updated error models
 - Only 5-10 coefficients; NASA predictor has 2000 entries in its table for B777 thrust



- For "what-if" tool with data link
 - Might only consider changing V_c and horizontal trajectory
 - Only need to assume S_{TOD} is linear in V_c
 - Only need to estimate one coefficient
- To fill in predicted trajectory between S_{TOD} and meter fix
 - Kinematic approach: straight line might be better than current predictions with 10 nmi error in *S*_{TOD}
 - Kinetic approach: modify W/(T D) so that integrator gives S_{TOD} close to desired value
 - Replace with constant
 - Multiply by constant
 - Add constant
 - . . .



- Need to improve prediction of vertical profile to enable fuel-efficient descents in congested airspace
- Largest source of error is difference in W/(T D) between FMS and ground predictor
- TOD location can be approximated well by a simple function of h_{crz}, V_c, h_{fix}, V_{fix}, W
- These approximations might guide research to improve the predictor

